PARK PLACE EXTENSION PROJECT
RFP NO. 13-05
BIDDER'S CALL/REQUEST FOR INFORMATION LOG

Date:	Identify issues discussed, question clarified, information provided:
3/5/2013	Q: The scope looks very similar to the previous RFP for this project. Was the previous RFP awarded or cancelled? A: We prematurely released the RFP without adding specific language regarding the DBE requirements. The scope is essentially identical though.
3/8/2013	Q: Is there a list of primes who have downloaded this RFP package? If so, could you forward that list? We would like to team on this project. A: The RFP was posted to our website and sent out to contacts provided internally and from the developers involved without categorizing between prime contractors.
3/12/2013	Q: Is there an overall project budget? If so, may I inquire as to the total construction cost? A: the point for this RFP is to determine the most cost effective design that fits best with the needs of the community and eventual development of that area which is currently owned by private developers. For the scope of work, there has been no specific budget set as it will be paid by multiple parties. The RFP was not designed to just see what the cost will be. We do plan to go forward by awarding to the most responsive, responsible bidder.
3/12/2013	Q: The following are questions we have about the RFP. 1. I want to confirm once more that by submitting a bid for this Alternative Study, we will not be conflicted out of any future work on the final design of the roadway. Our understanding is that the final design will be conditioned to the developer (in which there would be no conflict). Is there a possibility that the City will produce this final design as a City project instead? Please advise. 2. The RFP speaks of a Phase 1 (alternative study) and a Phase 2 (preferred alternative conceptual design). The project schedule on sheet 5 speaks of a roughly 2 month delay between these times. Knowing that the environmental process will need to occur and an approval will need to be determined for a preferred alternative between these two phases, is that time satisfactory? Will the environmental portion of the project be handed by the City? 3. Can you quantify the level of completion for the "Conceptual Design Phase"? On Page 4 of the RFP at the top (Construction Sequencing, any other plans for PUC approval, etc) it speaks of documents that are more to the level of completeness of final design plans. The items under "Phase 2 Deliverables" speak of submitting 24"x36" format on mylar which also constitutes a much more final design, not conceptual. Please advise. 4. The DBE/UDBE goal for the project is 12%. If we have a small business prime the project, will the 12% goal be satisfied, or do we need to have the 12% calculated off of a first tier sub? A: 1. Your understanding is correct. There is nothing excluding you from participating in future phases should the bid be awarded to you for this project. In all likelihood, the City will not pursue this project into the construction phase. 2. The times noted are more for administrative review. This project does not include the environmental process. The primary objective is to explore alternatives and associated costs before going into CEQA. 3. The scope does call for mylars and that is intended for reproduction purposes heading into CEQA. Understanding that normally mylars are called for traditionally much further down the timeline on the project, it is to ensure accurate reproduction capability by manual copying and electronic dissemination. 4. The 12% is for the entire project outlined in this scope, so long as those goals are met for the project, not a particular contractor.
3/12/2013	Q: I need a bit more clarification on Question 2. I completely understand the Phase 1 scope that provides the alternative study. Where I am needing information is what is the City's level of expected completion for the alternative design (the preferred alternative) in the Phase 2 scope? 35 design% 60 design% With the understanding that the CEQA process will be instituted probably by the developer bringing in his future project, how far is the City wanting to have this worked on when the CEQA process may not have been started? A: The design completion percentage for plans is that of 100% completion of a conceptual site plan for the alternative and preferred alternative.
3/20/2013	Q: I was wondering if a copy of the Caltrans application for funding is available for review. A: I do not think so…as it has been some time since the application was submitted…but I will check to see what I can find and get back to you if I turn up anything. Nothing turned up
3/27/2013	Q: I was wondering if you have a list of Prospective Bidders. We are a Land Surveying Company and I believe we would need to bid directly to the Engineers and not you, is that correct? A: There is not a specific list as it was published in various media. However, I can tell you that we are expected to award the bid on June 4th and the short list of responsive bidders would be made available. If the winning bidder has not yet picked respective subs before then, you would at least know who won the bid and could contact them directly.
3/28/2013	Q: 1. Copy of Developers Master / Concept Plan for the area. 2. Federal Funding --- what strings / constraints / conditions are attached to the Federal Funding 3. Copies of existing utility plans – particular the product lines (Kinder Morgan ?) 4. Confirm that the RFP is ONLY asking for a feasibility / concept study of alternative's 5. What is the budget for this phase of work A: 1. do not have a copy available 2. see attached E-76 Program sheet. Please also refer to page 7, section 8 for additional Federal requirements. 3. do not have a copy available 4. confirmed 5. the total budget (see attached) is to include the conceptual/feasibility, costing and some of the environmental work depending on the balance.
3/28/2013	Q: Would it be possible to release the topo and utility mapping? A: Those documents are not available.
3/28/2013	Q: Do you have someone to process Reimbursements of Federal Funds from Caltrans? Or you would like consultant to handle that for you? A: It is likely something that we would do internally or hire a separate contractor to do (contract administration) rather than have implementing group do it.